

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/220,398	12/24/98	HARNEY		F	VOV-003.02
		HM12/0630	$\neg$	EXAMINER	
MATTHEW P '	VINCENT	HAITS\ nego		RILEY, J	
FOLEY HOAG & ELIOT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PATENT GROUP ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON MA 02109-2170		· .		1656	9
					06/30/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/220,398** 

Applicant(s)

Harney

Examiner

Jezia Riley

Group Art Unit 1656

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 25, 2000	·				
X This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
X Claim(s) 1-42	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
$\square$ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	leview, PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.				
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority uncome.	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	ne priority documents have been				
received.					
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)				
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the Int	ernational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received:					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority t	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	)				
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413					
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	F FOLLOWING PAGES				

Serial Number: 09/ 398

#### DETAILED ACTION

Art Unit: 1656

#### Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' arguments and amendments, filed on 3/16/98, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 (a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Serial Number: 09/ 7,398 Art Unit: 1656

further view of the 1988 Stratagene Catalog.

3. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watson et al. (1992 "Recombinant DNA, Second Ed. Pp. 206-209) in view of Goodchild (Bioconjugate Chemistry, Vol. 1, pp. 165-187, May/June 1990, and Applicant's admissions and in

4. Applicants are having the argument that the references do not teach or suggest the present invention. This is not convincing because Watson teach that "the oligonucleotides are designed to so that each one anneals to two adjacent oligonucleotides". Watson also teaches different component categories such as structural genes or fragments of structural genes. "The domain that bound DNA", "Identifying a functional domain" page 207. Further, it is stated in page 209 col.2: "And the ability to operate on DNA lets us also change the structure of the products gene".

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP \$ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

No claim is allowed.

Serial Number: 09/ 0,398 Art Unit: 1656

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is (703) 305-6855. The Examiner may normally be reached Monday through Friday, 0900 - 1700 EST. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Gary Jones, may be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Any necessary fax can be sent to (703) 308-4242.

JEZIA RILEY PRIMARY EXAMINER